

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/686,598	YOKOZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Warren M. Cheek, Jr.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 July 2005

Time:

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

A possible new 112, second paragraph, rejection of amended claim 1.

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 1 by examiner's amendment in order to clarify the text of the claim such that a new 112, second paragraph, rejection could be avoided and the application passed to issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)